		1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT.	
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
3	X	
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : CR 03-929/05-060	
5		
6	-against- United States Courthouse	
7	: Brooklyn, New York	
8	VINCENT BASCIANO MICHAEL MANCUSO	
9	ANTHONY INDELICATO ANTHONY DONATO	
10	ANTHONY AIELLO VINCENZO MASI	
11	Defendants.	
12	: April 5, 2007 2:30 o'clock p.m.	
13	X	
14	TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE NICHOLAS G. GARAUFIS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
15	UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS OFFICE	
16	JOHN BURETTA AMY BUSA	
17	Assistant United States Attorneys 271 Cadman Plaza East	
18	Brooklyn, New York 11201	
19	ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT: EPHRAIM SAVITT, ESQ.	
20	STEPHANIE CARVLIN, ESQ. For: Basciano	
21	DAVID SCHOEN, ESQ.	
22	JOHN MITCHELL, ESQ. For: Mancuso	
23		
24		
25		
J		

2 1 RICHARD REEVE, ESQ. MICHAEL SHEEHAN, ESQ. 2 For: Indelicato 3 MAURICE SERCARZ, ESQ. MITCHELL DINNERSTEIN, ESQ. 4 For: Donato LEE GINSBERG, ESQ. 5 For: Aiello 6 OLIVER STORCH, ESQ. 7 For: Masi THE CLERK: United States versus Basciano, et al. 8 9 Who is appearing for Vincent Basciano? 10 Ephraim Savitt, and Stephanie Carvlin, MR. SAVITT: for Vincent Basciano. 11 12 MR. SCHOEN: For Michael Mancuso, David Schoen, and 13 John Mitchell. 14 MR. REEVE: For Anthony Indelicato, Richard Reeve, Michael Sheehan. 15 MR. SERCARZ: Maurice Sercarz, and Mitchell 16 17 Dinnerstein, for Anthony Donato. 18 MR. GINSBERG: Lee Ginsberg, for Anthony Aiello. 19 MR. STORCH: Oliver Storch, for Vincenzo Masi. 20 (Defendants now present in the courtroom) 21 MR. BURETTA: You no doubt received the government's 22 letter advising the Attorney General's decision on the death 23 The government intends to within about the next two penalty. 24 to two and a half weeks as to defendant Basciano file its 25 notice of intent and supersede with special findings as a part

of what will be a superseding indictment that will address the death penalty gateway findings, aggravating factors, both statutory and non-statutory. It probably makes sense to set a motion schedule working out from that point.

I assume as to Defendant Basciano there are various constitutional and other motions he will want to make regarding the death penalty, and as to the non-death penalty defendants my assumption would be that they will move for --certainly not an easy decision in our view in light of some recent case law, including Judge Glasser's decisions recently in the United States versus Dwayne Stone matter, a similar case where defendants were charged with the same murders, one defendant death eligible, and a number of other defendants decided not death eligible, Judge Glasser denying the severance issue motion in that case.

THE COURT: How many defendants were there?

MR. BURETTA: More than five in that case.

MR. SERCARZ: We agree the case is readily distinguishable and we will be happy to brief it for you.

THE COURT: You will get your opportunity.

MR. SAVITT: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Savitt, is it your intention regarding motion practice -- I take it, I could take all the motions on the same day? You will have a group of motions?

MR. SAVITT: Yes, Your Honor, we will. I was

4 wondering whether or not it makes sense to set the motion 1 2 schedule when we have the arraignment on the newest 3 superseding indictment, and to, perhaps, instead of looking 4 prospectively from that date there, as a practical matter, I don't know if Your Honor wishes to set some sort of a trial 5 6 date today and maybe we can work our way back from that trial 7 date and the reason --8 THE COURT: How would I know unless I've decided the 9 severance issue. I could set a trial date as to who goes to 10 trial on that day. 11 MR. SAVITT: Yes, Your Honor. That's what I would 12 imagine would happen. 13 THE COURT: But I have five defendants who are not 14 subject to the death penalty now, and I'm sure they would like to have as early a trial as possible, so I don't know. 15 Do vou 16 want to talk a minute about how much time you need to brief 17 the severance motion? 18 MR. SERCARZ: That is what I want to do. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Ginsberg. 20 MR. GINSBERG: Yes. Would it be Your Honor's pleasure 21 that we brief the severance issue, let Your Honor decide that 22 before anybody starts filing any other motion because even the non-death eligible defendants also have other motions to file 23 24 and we can do it that way?

THE COURT: Sure. Well, there are motions which are

25

5 specific to Mr. Basciano, which can be filed soon. 1 2 MR. SAVITT: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Having nothing to do with the severance 4 issue? Are you going to take a position on severance? MR. SAVITT: 5 No. You probably won't? 6 THE COURT: 7 MR. SAVITT: No, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: So the severance motion or motions 9 concerning the constitutionality of the death penalty statute, 10 etcetera, etcetera, those can be made early on, so that we 11 dispose of them -- dispose of them promptly, and at that point 12 we can move on to the questions of the other motions that 13 you'll make in due course having to do with whatever before we 14 try the case. 15 MR. GINSBERG: Fine. THE COURT: So why don't we handle it that way. 16

THE COURT: So why don't we handle it that way. We will set a motion schedule on severance and on the constitutional motions for Mr. Basciano, and then we will take arguments after that, and I think we can sort of play out how long it will take because for those who are going to be trying this case it would be useful to know when they need to block out some time. Of course, if severance is denied, we will have to block out a heck of lot more time than if severance is granted because based on my experience, unfortunately, jury selection might take longer than a non-death case all

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6 together. So there may be other motions on juries and who 1 2 knows what you are going to file. I'm sure you will use your 3 ingenuity. So why don't we set a motion schedule. How much 4 time would you like on severance? MR. SERCARZ: Would the Court consider giving us a 5 6 month for the severance motion and I guess --7 MR. SAVITT: Two months? THE COURT: Let me give you a month on the severance, 8 9 so we can dispose of it. All right. May 11th, motion; 10 June 8th, for the response. MR. BURETTA: Thank you, Judge. 11 12 THE COURT: June 15th for any reply; and June 22nd 13 at 11 a.m. for oral argument. 14 MR. SAVITT: This is on the severance motion? 15 THE COURT: Severance. Do you want two months on the 16 other one? 17 MR. SAVITT: Yes, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: June 8th for Mr. Basciano's motions; the 19 government has until July 13th to respond; July 20th for any 20 reply; and July 27th at 10:00 a.m. for oral argument. 21 Okay. Do you have any other business? 22 MR. BURETTA: Two other matters, Judge. With 23 respect to some separations that had been in effect as to 24 other defendants in the 05-CR-06 case, the government has 25 requested that the Marshal lift the separations between the

7 1 non-death eligible defendants. 2 THE COURT: Among the non-death eligible defendants? 3 MR. BURETTA: Correct. 4 THE COURT: But still, Mr. Basciano is still 5 separated. So he will be separated. 6 MR. BURETTA: 7 THE COURT: So he is not part of that picture. 8 MR. SERCARZ: I understand from conferring with the 9 defendants we may need a court order in order to effect that. 10 If we submit one we will get a signed order to that effect 11 that we can serve on the MDC. Apparently the separations have 12 not been lifted as yet, and the defendants were told that a 13 court order would be required. 14 MR. BURETTA: No. THE COURT: They have inside counsel. 15 MR. SERCARZ: They have contact with the marshals 16 17 when they are being brought over here. 18 THE COURT: This is a wonderful world. I have already 19 appointed all these lawyers and they are consulting inside 20 counsel as opposed to outside counsel. 21 MR. BURETTA: We just spoke with the marshals a few 22 days ago, so it probably takes a few days to run its course. 23 THE COURT: If it hasn't been done within a week 24 send me an order. 25 MR. SERCARZ: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Would you check with the MDC and let's 1 2 make sure it gets done. 3 MR. BURETTA: I will. 4 Judge, we have received some more letters on the issue of speaking to the press and the current date for us to 5 6 respond is April 9th. We will request until April 16th, an 7 additional week, so we can provide a thoughtful response. 8 THE COURT: Without objection, so ordered. 9 The next step as to all the learned counsel who have 10 served so diligently for the defendants who have not been 11 certified by the Attorney General, it's time to relieve them. 12 So consider yourselves relieved, with the thanks of the Court. 13 Where is Mr. Kousouros? 14 MR. SAVITT: Mr. Kousouros is -THE COURT: Is he in the Greek islands celebrating 15 16 the holy week? 17 MR. SAVITT: He is on trial before Judge Owen and I 18 neglected to advise Your Honor when I introduced myself 19 earlier that he conveyed his regrets that he has to sum up in 20 another case today. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Basciano has been approved for 22 the death penalty. We will have to arrange our meetings in 23 such a way that he can be with us. That is, Mr. Kousouros. 24 Mr. Basciano will be with us, but Mr. Kousouros should be with 25 us, too.

MR. SAVITT: Of course. Understood, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: I have an application here for a 3 transcript. Mr. Savitt, why are you submitting that, as 4 Mr. Basciano has retained counsel? MR. SAVITT: Oh, that was in connection with the 5 6 government's motion with respect to any comments to the press. 7 There's some colloquy of both those proceedings, one of which I did not attend, the other of which I did attend. 8 9 the second one was the day following the presentation to the 10 Department of Justice. So there are --THE COURT: You mean you need these transcripts to 11 12 fulfill your duties as learned counsel; is that it? 13 MR. SAVITT: That is correct, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Otherwise, he doesn't qualify. MR. SAVITT: I understand that, Your Honor. 15 16 THE COURT: It is approved. 17 MR. SAVITT: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Anything else from the government? MR. BURETTA: No, Your Honor. 19 20 THE COURT: And those of you who are here without 21 primary counsel. 22 MR. GINSBERG: Mr. Aiello. Ms. Kellman is on 23 vacation. She is out of the city. 24 THE COURT: I think everyone else has except 25 MR. SAVITT: Mr. Basciano.

THE COURT: That's fine.

Well, let me just thank everyone for coming in on short notice. I wanted to get these motions immediately so that we can resolve some of these issues before trial.

Oh, trial dates. Assuming there is one trial, just for the sake of scheduling it at this point, what is the earliest that counsel will be available, and of course, Ms. Kellman is not here to tell me, so let me get a sense of at what point, assuming that we have motion practice in the summer and then some additional trial preparation, what's the government's sense of when it will be ready to go to trial?

MR. BURETTA: We defer to defense counsel on their schedules. Obviously, the government will be handling the trial as to Mr. Basciano through the end of July. We'd like time to prepare after that.

THE COURT: You mean retrial?

MR. BURETTA: Correct.

We would like several months to prepare if we are moving forward right away with the second case, since it is staffed by substantially the same attorneys from our side.

THE COURT: My availability is -- before I get to defense counsel's -- is predicated where I am with the jury, a death penalty jury trial which is -- now we are going to start picking a jury late in October, but some other judge may take that over. Some other judge might take this over. We haven't

really worked that out at this point.

Assuming that I'm available, at what point will defense counsel be ready to go to trial? What's the earliest?

MR. SAVITT: Well, Your Honor, we are obviously in a different posture than the others because we have more of a certainty, unfortunately, in our trial schedule in the future. I would suggest for Mr. Basciano that March of 2008 would be the appropriate earliest date, given the amount of work that is necessary; not only with respect to the motions but to the increased investigation and all the other matters that confront us in a capital prosecution, and that's the earliest date that we would suggest.

THE COURT: And as to the non-death defendants, do we have a sense yet -- would you like to confer and get back to the Court as to what the possible earliest date would be? You don't have to tell me now. I think you need to talk to Ms. Kellman anyway about it. I just think you ought to think about -- assuming that you will try it together, which you probably will be. We know what Mr. Basciano's earliest date is. Let's find out what everybody's else's earliest date is as well.

MR. SERCARZ: May we send you a letter?

THE COURT: That would be fine.

Now, as Mr. Basciano does not have CJA counsel as his primary counsel, I don't think we need to have a budget

meeting as to Mr. Basciano since he's handling his own financial needs for counsel; isn't that right?

MR. SAVITT: I'd like to think about that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'll think about it too.

MR. SAVITT: I understand Your Honor's point. There is a question of experts that we may need with respect to a potential penalty phase.

THE COURT: So think about it and I can schedule a budget meeting in the next few weeks if we need to have a budget meeting. You may be right that there are certain costs associated with Mr. Basciano's defense as to the possible death penalty itself as opposed to guilt or non-guilt, and so, I am required as we -- for everybody prior to this point hold budget meetings and approved budget and send them on to the Second Circuit; and now, as you know, Mr. Tritz is assisting in the Second Circuit on a full time basis to oversee these costs, and you definitely know and the others may not know, the last death penalty trial I presided over, the other costs of that to the taxpayers for the defense was over one point two million dollars, one defendant case.

All right. Anything else from anyone?

MR. BURETTA: We just request exclusion of time through June 22nd. It is a complex case. I assume motions are deemed to be made at this point as well.

THE COURT: Any objection? MR. SAVITT: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. This is a complex case. continues to be a complex case. Time is excluded under the speedy trial act in view of that designations and for motion practice until the motions are decided, and we will see you all in accordance with the schedule that we've set. Thank you, very much. MR. BURETTA: Thank you. MR. SAVITT: Thank you. (Proceedings concluded as above set forth)